As president of the American Public Health Association (APHA) from 2015-2016, I launched a National Campaign Against Racism as a key agenda of my APHA presidency.\(^1,2\) I set this agenda for the nation’s flagship professional society for public health practitioners and researchers because I identified racism as the root cause of “race”-associated differences in health outcomes.\(^3\) We must now set this agenda for our nation. Although some in this country will acknowledge that racism is foundational in our nation’s history, many in this country are in denial about the continued existence of racism and its profound impacts on the health and well-being of the nation. Indeed, it is because of this widespread denial of racism that we must launch a National Campaign Against Racism with three tasks: 1) naming racism; 2) asking “how is racism operating here?” and 3) organizing and strategizing to act. Following are brief descriptions of each of these tasks, including a framework for an Anti-Racism Collaborative as a platform for organizing our work going forward.

**NAMING RACISM**

Racism is a system of structuring opportunity and assigning value based on the social interpretation of how one looks (which is what we call “race”), that unfairly disadvantages some individuals and communities, unfairly advantages other individuals and communities, and saps the strength of the whole society through the waste of human resources.\(^4,5\) This definition of racism as a system (rather than an individual character flaw, personal moral failing, or psychiatric illness) helps start conversations because we are no longer trying to divide the room into who is racist and who is not. By acknowledging that racism saps the strength of the whole society, we recognize that we all have “skin” in the game to dismantle this system and put in its place a system in which all people can know and develop to their full potentials.

My use of allegory to illustrate different aspects of “race” and racism has been effective in naming racism to people who have been raised in denial and taught not to see. My Gardener’s Tale\(^6,7\) illustrates three levels of racism system and put in its place a system in which all people can know and develop to their full potentials.

Although some in this country will acknowledge that racism is foundational in our nation’s history, many in this country are in denial about the continued existence of racism and its profound impacts on the health and well-being of the nation.
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addressing both how racism structures opportunity and how it assigns value. Even if we could compel the gardener in that allegory to enrich the poor, rocky soil until it was as rich as the rich, fertile soil, if she continues to prefer the red flowers over the pink flowers, she will continue to privilege red over pink going forward. This story highlights that we must address both the opportunity structures (differential access to the goods, services, and opportunities of society by “race”) and the value assignment (White supremacist ideology) in our anti-racism work.

Among my other published allegories, my Cliff Analogy illustrates that to eliminate health disparities and achieve social justice, health interventions must address racism and other systems of structured inequity. My My Japanese Lanterns allegory illustrates how easy it is to be beguiled by the illusion of “race” as a fixed biological trait. My My Dual Reality Restaurant Saga illustrates how easy it is for those who are privileged by systems of structured inequity to be blind to the existence of those systems. My My Conveyor Belt allegory illustrates the three tasks of becoming actively anti-racist against the backdrop of societal indifference and complicity in racism.

Asking “How Is Racism Operating Here?”

The mechanisms of racism are in our structures, policies, practices, norms, and values, which are different elements of decision-making. Structures are the “who?” “what?” “when?” and “where?” of decision-making; policies are the written “how?” of decision-making; practices and norms are the unwritten “how?” of decision-making; and values are the “why?” In evaluating these mechanisms of racism, we need to be especially attentive to the “absence of.” Who is at the table, and who is not? What is on the agenda, and what is not? And when we note the “Absence of,” we need to take action to fill in the gaps. We need to become vigilant in identifying and addressing inaction in the face of need.

Answering the question, “How is racism operating here?” can be a powerful approach to identifying levers for potential intervention. Following is a thought exercise asking, “How is racism operating here?” with regard to police killings of unarmed Black and Brown men and women. Structures: the presence or absence of Citizen Review Boards to hold police departments accountable. Policies: reliance on the Grand Jury system to bring indictments against police officers. Values: the widely held societal view of Black men as inherently threatening, which leads to justifying the excessive use of force. Any one of these mechanisms could be a fruitful focus for action. Better yet, we could organize to address several of these mechanisms at the same time.

Organizing and Strategizing to Act

During my term as president of APHA, I proposed an Anti-Racism Collaborative with eight Collective Action Teams as a structure for harnessing the wisdom and energy of anti-racism activists across the country and around the world. I envisioned much of the early work of the Anti-Racism Collaborative happening within social networking spaces, with later work extending into local geographies. I imagined the Anti-Racism Collaborative as the structure that would survive my presidency as APHA members and many other partners in communities across the country engaged in a sustained National Campaign against Racism.

Because the APHA social networking infrastructure was insufficient for hosting the Anti-Racism Collaborative, it was never launched by APHA. However, both the Center for the Study of Racism, Social Justice, and Health at UCLA and the Social Medicine Consortium have since embraced the National Campaign Against Racism as part of their work and are using the Anti-Racism Collaborative as a framework. Following are the initial guiding questions for each of the eight proposed Collective Action Teams:

1) Communication and Dissemination: How can we support the naming of racism in all public and private spaces? What tools and strategies are needed to start community conversations on racism?

2) Education and Development: How can we support the training of public health professionals and researchers around issues of “race,” racism, and anti-racism at educational institutions of all levels? How does an effective anti-racism curriculum look?

3) Global Matters: How can we use the International Convention on the Elimination of all forms
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Barriers to Achieving Health Equity

Health equity has been defined as assurance of the conditions for optimal health for all people. Achieving health equity requires valuing all individuals and populations equally, recognizing and rectifying historical injustices, and providing resources according to need.

In addition to economic and political barriers, there are at least three major cultural barriers to achieving health equity in the United States. The first cultural barrier is our narrow focus on the individual, which makes the systems and structures that drive inequities either invisible or irrelevant. Self-interest becomes narrowly defined, sometimes not even encompassing extended family. There is a limited sense of interdependence and a limited sense of collective efficacy.

The second cultural barrier is our ahistorical stance. The present is viewed as disconnected from the past, and the current distribution of advantage and disadvantage is routinely viewed as happenstance despite the legacy of racism and its current manifestations. Systems and structures are accepted as given and treated as immutable.

The third cultural barrier is our endorsement of the myth of meritocracy. If you work hard in this country, you will make it. Certainly many (perhaps most) of the people who have made it in this country have worked hard. But there are many, many other people who are working just as hard or harder who will never make it in this country because, as research has shown, an uneven playing field exists—one created and perpetuated by racism and other systems of structured inequity. Therefore, when we deny racism, we support the myth of meritocracy.

One Last Thing: Treaty Obligations

The International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial Discrimination is an international anti-racism treaty that was adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1965. It was signed by the United States in 1966. The U.S. Senate ratified the treaty 28 years later in 1994. We have international treaty obligations to "do right" under this nine-page treaty. One of our obligations is to sub-
mit periodic reports to the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination (UN CERD). The US Department of State submits reports roughly every six years, with the most recent report having been submitted in 2013. The UN CERD reviewed this official US report, along with 82 parallel reports submitted by non-governmental organizations, and returned to the US government its Concluding Observations in 2014. Among the Concerns and Recommendations expressed by the UN CERD were racial profiling (paras 8 and 18), residential segregation (para 13), the achievement gap in education (para 14), differential access to health care (para 15), and disproportionate incarceration (para 20). In addition to recommendations in those areas, the UN CERD also “recommends that the State party adopt a national action plan to combat structural racial discrimination” (para 25).

Conclusion

So here we are, recognizing the importance of launching a National Campaign Against Racism, and now also recognizing the international mandate for our government to do so. But a successful struggle against racism will require strong efforts and effective organization outside of the government. I hope that the nascent efforts to launch a National Campaign Against Racism that I made during my APHA presidency will bloom with the continued support and involvement of the Center for the Study of Racism, Social Justice, and Health at UCLA, the Social Medicine Consortium, and others.

I hope that you, the reader, will get involved by naming racism, asking “How is racism operating here?”, and organizing and strategizing to act. We need all of us, with our wisdom, energy, passion, questions, and gifts. I am convinced that together, we can dismantle this system that structures opportunity and assigns value based on “race,” and put in its place a system in which all people can know and develop to their full potentials. Let’s go!
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